

## A Generalization of the Binet-Minc Formula for the Evaluation of Permanents

Akihiro Nishi

*Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Education  
Saga University  
Saga 840, Japan*

Submitted by Ingram Olkin

---

### ABSTRACT

A formula for the sum of the coefficients of monomials of the form  $x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_p^{j_p}$  is given, where  $j_1, \dots, j_p$  are given positive integers, in the polynomial

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} x_j \right).$$

When  $p = n$  and  $j_1 = \cdots = j_n = 1$ , this formula coincides with the Binet-Minc formula for the evaluation of the permanent of the matrix  $(a_{ij})$ .

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  be an  $n \times m$  matrix. We consider the polynomial

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} x_j \right). \quad (1)$$

The coefficients of such polynomials were investigated, more than a century ago, by Hammond [5] and Muir [8] (cf. the bibliography of Minc [6]). The sum of the coefficients of monomials of the form  $x_{l_1} \cdots x_{l_n}$ , where  $(l_1 \dots l_n)$  extends over all  $n$ -permutations of the set  $M = \{1, \dots, m\}$ , has been called

the permanent of the matrix  $A$ . Recently Bebiano [3] treated the sum of the coefficients of the form  $x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_m^{j_m}$ , where  $j_i$  are nonnegative integers whose sum is  $n$ , and obtained an identity expressing (1) as a polynomial with coefficients involving permanents induced by  $A$ . She used the identity to deduce in a simple way the Binet-Minc [7] and the Ryser formulas for the evaluation of permanents.

In this paper we consider the sum of the coefficients of monomials of the form  $x_{l_1}^{j_1} \cdots x_{l_p}^{j_p}$ , where  $j_1, \dots, j_p$  are positive integers whose sum is  $n$  and  $(l_1 \dots l_p)$  extends over all  $p$ -permutations of  $M$ , and give a Binet-Minc type formula for the sum of the coefficients. Our results are given in Theorems 2 and 3. The proof of Theorem 2 uses Theorem 1, which states the cardinality of the set of partitions of the set  $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$  corresponding to a partition of an integer  $n$ . As an application of these theorems, two expressions for the Stirling numbers are given in Section 4.

## 2. NOTATION AND TERMINOLOGY

We use some notation and terminology following Aigner [1] and Andrews [2]. Let  $M$  and  $N$  be the following sets of integers:  $M = \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $N = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $\pi$  denote a partition of the set  $N$  into  $p$  blocks, i.e.  $p$  disjoint nonempty subsets,  $N_1, \dots, N_p$ . The lattice  $L_N$  is the totality of partitions of  $N$  with natural semiorder, which is denoted by " $\leq$ " or " $\geq$ ". The set  $N$  itself is the unique maximal,  $I$ , of  $L_N$ , and the unique minimal,  $0$ , is the partition into  $n$  distinct one point sets (cf. [1, p. 13]).

Corresponding to  $\pi$ , the cardinalities of the blocks  $N_1, \dots, N_p$  arranged in nonincreasing order, say,  $j_1 \geq \dots \geq j_p$ , form a partition of the integer  $n$  into  $p$  parts, which is denoted by  $j(p) = (j_1 \dots j_p)$ . The set of partitions of the integer  $n$  into  $p$  parts is denoted by  $G(p)$ , and the union of  $G(1), \dots, G(n)$  is denoted by  $G_n$ . On  $G_n$  there is a natural semiorder relation corresponding to  $L_N$ . For  $p = q + 1$ ,  $j(p) = (j_1 \dots j_p) \in G(p)$  is said to be *covered* by  $k(q) = (k_1 \dots k_q) \in G(q)$  when one element in  $k(q)$  is a sum of two in  $j(p)$ , and the remaining  $q - 1$  elements in  $j(p)$  and  $k(q)$  both form the same set of integers. The semiorder in  $G_n$  is called the dominance order in  $G_n$  (cf. [1, p. 18]). The semiorder in  $G_n$  is denoted by the same notation " $\leq$ " or " $\geq$ " as the one in  $L_N$ . When  $n \geq 5$ ,  $G_n$  cannot be a lattice, since  $(n - 211)$  and  $(n - 321)$  are covered by  $(n - 11)$  and  $(n - 22)$ , which are not comparable.

Let  $L_N(j(p))$  be the set of partitions of  $N$  such that the cardinalities of its blocks consist of the partition of integer  $n$  into  $j(p)$ . For  $j(p) = (j_1 \dots j_p)$ , let  $e_i$  be the number of elements equal to  $i$ ; then  $j(p)$  can be expressed by

$e = 1^{e_1} 2^{e_2} \dots n^{e_n}$ , which is called the type of  $\pi \in L_N(j(p))$ ,  $\text{type}(\pi)$ , in [1, p. 70].

It is well known that the cardinality of  $L_N(j(p))$  is given by

$$b(j(p); n) = \frac{n!}{j_1! \cdots j_p! e_1! \cdots e_p!} \quad (2)$$

(cf. [2, Theorem 13.2]).

For a partition  $\pi = (N_1, \dots, N_p)$  of  $N$ , let

$$S(\pi) = \prod_{t=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^m \prod_{i \in N_t} a_{ij},$$

and also let

$$T(\pi) = \sum \prod_{t=1}^p \prod_{i \in N_t} a_{it_i},$$

where the summation is for all  $p$ -permutations  $(l_1 \dots l_p)$  of  $M$ . Note that in  $S(\pi)$  and  $T(\pi)$  there are  $m^p$  and  $m(m-1) \cdots (m-p+1)$  terms respectively.

For  $j(p) \in G(p)$ , let

$$S(j(p)) = \sum_{\pi \in L_N(j(p))} S(\pi), \quad (3)$$

and also let

$$T(j(p)) = \sum_{\pi \in L_N(j(p))} T(\pi). \quad (4)$$

Then  $T(j(p))$  is the sum of the coefficients of monomials of the form  $x_{i_1}^{j_1} \cdots x_{i_p}^{j_p}$  in the polynomial (1). Note that the permanent of the matrix  $A$ ,  $\text{Per}(A)$ , is  $T(0)$  or equivalently  $T(j(n))$  in our notation.

### 3. A GENERALIZATION OF THE BINET-MINC FORMULA

For a partition  $\sigma$  with  $q$  blocks, let  $\pi$  be a refinement of  $\sigma$ , and also let the  $i$ th block of  $\sigma$  be the union of  $h_i$  blocks of  $\pi$ . It is well known that the

Möbius function  $\mu(\pi, \sigma)$  is given by

$$\mu(\pi, \sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^q (-1)^{h_i-1} (h_i - 1)!. \quad (5)$$

LEMMA. For  $\pi \in L_N$ , we have

$$T(\pi) = \sum_{\pi \leq \sigma \in L_N} \mu(\pi, \sigma) S(\sigma). \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* It is clear, from the definition of  $S(\pi)$  and  $T(\pi)$ , that we have

$$S(\pi) = \sum_{\sigma \geq \pi} T(\sigma). \quad (7)$$

Applying the Möbius inversion formula (cf. [1, p. 152]), we have (6). ■

For  $\pi \geq \sigma$ ,  $\text{type}[\pi, \sigma]$  is defined as  $g = 1^{g_1} 2^{g_2} \dots p^{g_p}$ , where  $g_i$  is the number of blocks of  $\sigma$  made up of exactly  $i$  blocks of  $\pi$  (cf. [1, p. 70]). Thus the Möbius function (5) becomes

$$\mu(\pi, \sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n [(-1)^{i-1} (i-1)!]^{g_i}.$$

THEOREM 1. Let  $k(q) \geq j(p)$ . For a given type  $g$  and any  $\sigma \in L_N(k(q))$ , the cardinality of the set  $\{\pi: \pi \in L_N(j(p)), \text{type}[\pi, \sigma] = g\}$  is free from  $\sigma$  and depends only on  $j(p)$ ,  $k(q)$ , and  $g$ . The cardinality of the set  $\{\pi: \pi \in L_N(j(p)), \pi \leq \sigma\}$  is also free from  $\sigma$  and depends only on  $j(p)$  and  $k(q)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$  in  $L_N(k(q))$ , there exists a permutation of the set  $N$  which gives a bijection between the set  $\{\pi: \pi \in L_N(j(p)), \text{type}[\pi, \sigma_1] = g\}$  and the set  $\{\pi: \pi \in L_N(j(p)), \text{type}[\pi, \sigma_2] = g\}$ . The remaining part follows immediately, since the set  $\{\pi: \pi \in L_N(j(p)), \pi \leq \sigma\}$  is the union of the sets  $\{\pi: \pi \in L_N(j(p)), \text{type}[\pi, \sigma] = g\}$  for all possible types  $g$ . ■

This theorem enables us to introduce the symbols  $b(j(p); k(q), g)$  and  $b(j(p); k(q))$ , which represent the cardinalities of the sets in the theorem. The derivation of an expression for  $b(j(p); k(q), g)$  is fairly complicated, and is given in the appendix together with examples of the expression. Similarly, let  $b^*(k(q); j(p), g)$  and  $b^*(k(q); j(p))$  be the cardinalities of the sets  $\{\sigma: \sigma \in L_N(k(q)), \text{type}[\pi, \sigma] = g\}$  and  $\{\sigma: \sigma \in L_N(k(q)), \sigma \geq \pi\}$  for  $\pi \in$

$L_N(j(p))$ , respectively. Counting the number of pairs in the set  $\{(\pi, \sigma): \pi \leq \sigma, \pi \in L_N(j(p)), \sigma \in L_N(k(q)), \text{type}[\pi, \sigma] = g\}$  and also in the set  $\{(\pi, \sigma): \pi \leq \sigma, \pi \in L_N(j(p)), \sigma \in L_N(k(q))\}$ , we have the following corollary.

**COROLLARY.** For  $k(q) \geq j(p)$ , we have

$$\frac{b(j(p); k(q), g)}{b^*(k(q); j(p), g)} = \frac{b(j(p); k(q))}{b^*(k(q); j(p))} = \frac{b(j(p); n)}{b(k(q); n)}. \quad (8)$$

Note that for  $q = 1$ , the only member in  $L_N(k(1))$  is  $\sigma = I$ , and  $\text{type}[\pi, I] = 1^0 2^0 \dots p^1 =: (p)$  for all  $\pi \in L_N(j(p))$ . Since  $b^*(k(1); j(p), (p)) = b^*(k(1); j(p)) = b(k(1); n) = 1$  and  $b(j(p); k(1), (p)) = b(j(p); k(1)) = b(j(p); n)$ , (8) may be regarded as a generalization of (2). Another generalization of (2) is given in Good [4, Lemma, p. 334].

We give an identity corresponding to (7) for  $S(j(p))$ .

**THEOREM 2.** For  $j(p) \in G(p)$ , we have

$$S(j(p)) = \sum_{q=1}^p \sum_{k(q) \geq j(p)} b(j(p); k(q)) T(k(q)). \quad (9)$$

*Proof.* From the definition and (7), we have

$$S(j(p)) = \sum_{\pi \in L_N(j(p))} \sum_{\sigma \geq \pi} T(\sigma). \quad (10)$$

By changing the order of the summation and by using the zeta function  $\zeta(\pi, \sigma)$ , the right hand side of (10) becomes

$$\sum_{q=1}^p \sum_{k(q) \geq j(p)} \sum_{\sigma \in L_N(k(q))} \sum_{\pi \in L_N(j(p))} \zeta(\pi, \sigma) T(\sigma).$$

From Theorem 1, (9) follows. ■

**EXAMPLE.** Let  $n = 6$ ,  $p = 3$ , and  $j(3) = (321)$ . The expressions for  $S(j(3))$  and  $S(k(2))$  with  $k(2) \geq j(3)$  and  $S(k(1))$  in Theorem 2 are given as

follows:

$$S(321) = T(321) + 10T(51) + 4T(42) + 6T(33) + 60T(6),$$

$$S(51) = T(51) + 6T(6),$$

$$S(42) = T(42) + 15T(6),$$

$$S(33) = T(33) + 10T(6),$$

$$S(6) = T(6).$$

Solving this equation, we have

$$T(321) = S(321) - \{10S(51) + 4S(42) + 6S(33)\} + 120S(6).$$

As is seen in the example, the equations (9) for  $j(p) \in G(p)$  and all  $k(q) \in G(q)$ ,  $q = 1, \dots, p-1$ , are simultaneous linear equations in  $T(j(p))$  and  $T(k(q))$  for  $q = 1, \dots, p-1$ . Their solution is given in the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.** *Putting*

$$c(j(p); k(q)) = (-1)^{p-q} \sum_g \prod_{i=1}^p [(i-1)!]^{e_i} b(j(p); k(q), g),$$

*we have for  $j(p) \in G(p)$*

$$T(j(p)) = \sum_{q=1}^p \sum_{k(q) \geq j(p)} c(j(p); k(q)) S(k(q)), \quad (11)$$

*where the summation  $\sum_g$  is for all possible types  $g = \text{type}[\pi, \sigma]$ .*

*Proof.* From (4) and (6), we have

$$T(j(p)) = \sum_{\pi \in L_N(j(p))} \sum_{\pi \leq \sigma \in L_N} \mu(\pi, \sigma) S(\sigma). \quad (12)$$

Changing the order of the summation, the right hand side of (12) becomes

$$\sum_{q=1}^p \sum_{k(q) \in G(q)} \sum_{\sigma \in L_N(k(q))} S(\sigma) \sum_{\pi \in L_N(j(p)), \pi \leq \sigma} \mu(\pi, \sigma).$$

Since the Möbius function  $\mu(\pi, \sigma)$  is determined by  $\text{type}[\pi, \sigma]$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^p (i-1)g_i = p - q$ , Theorem 1 leads us to (11). ■

Let  $k(q) = (k_1 \dots k_q) \in G(q)$ . When  $p = n$ , we have  $T(j(n)) = \text{Per}(A)$  and  $c(j(n); k(q)) = (-1)^{n-q} \prod_{i=1}^q (k_i - 1)!$ . Hence from the theorem we have the following corollary, which is the well-known Binet-Minc formula [7].

COROLLARY.

$$\text{Per}(A) = \sum_{q=1}^n \sum_{k(q) \in G(q)} c(k(q)) S(k(q)),$$

where  $k(q) = (k_1 \dots k_q) \in G(q)$  and

$$c(k(q)) = (-1)^{n-q} \prod_{i=1}^q (k_i - 1)!.$$

#### 4. EXPRESSION FOR THE STIRLING NUMBERS

As an application of Theorems 2 and 3, we give two expressions for the Stirling numbers. Let  $a_{ij} = 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $n \leq m$ . According to the definitions of  $S(j(p))$ ,  $T(j(p))$ , and  $b(j(p); n)$ , we have

$$S(j(p)) = b(j(p); n) m^p,$$

$$T(j(p)) = b(j(p); n) (m)_p,$$

where  $(m)_p = m(m-1) \cdots (m-p+1)$ . From the definition of the Stirling numbers of the second kind,  $S(p, q)$ , it follows from Theorem 2 that

$$S(p, q) = \frac{1}{b(j(p); n)} \sum_{k(q) \geq j(p)} b(j(p); k(q)) b(k(q); n).$$

Similarly, for the Stirling numbers of the first kind,  $s(p, q)$ , we have from Theorem 3

$$s(p, q) = \frac{1}{b(j(p); n)} \sum_{k(q) \geq j(p)} c(j(p); k(q)) b(k(q); n).$$

In the particular case of  $p = n$  [i.e.  $j(n) = (1 \dots 1)$ ], these formulas are found in Riordan [9, p. 195] and Andrews [2, Theorem 13.8].

#### APPENDIX. AN EXPRESSION FOR $b(j(p); k(q), g)$

Let  $j(p) = (j_1 \dots j_p)$ , and its corresponding type be  $e = 1^{e_1} 2^{e_2} \dots n^{e_n}$ . Furthermore, let  $g = 1^{g_1} 2^{g_2} \dots p^{g_p}$  with  $g_1 + g_2 + \dots + g_p = q$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^p i g_i = p$ .

The problem is to obtain the cardinality of the set of  $\pi$ 's with  $\text{type}(\pi) = e$ , i.e.  $\pi \in L_N(j(p))$  and  $\text{type}[\pi, \sigma] = g$ , given that  $\sigma \in L_N(k(q))$ . The set of these  $\pi$ 's is not empty for some  $g$  if  $j(p) \leq k(q)$ .

Let the blocks of  $\sigma$  be  $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_q$ . Then  $\pi \leq \sigma$  in  $\text{type}[\pi, \sigma] = g$  means that  $B_1$  is subdivided into  $l_1$  subsets, say  $A_{11}, \dots, A_{1l_1}$ ,  $B_2$  into  $A_{21}, \dots, A_{2l_2}$ , and so on, and finally  $B_q$  into  $A_{q1}, \dots, A_{ql_q}$ , so that blocks of  $\pi$  are  $A_{11}, \dots, A_{1l_1}, A_{21}, \dots, A_{2l_2}, \dots, A_{q1}, \dots, A_{ql_q}$  for some  $(l_1, \dots, l_q)$ , and  $\text{type}[\pi, \sigma] = g = 1^{g_1} 2^{g_2} \dots p^{g_p}$ , where  $g_i$  is the number of  $l_1, \dots, l_q$  equal to  $i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, p$ ). Note that  $(l_1, \dots, l_q)$  becomes, if rearranged in nondecreasing order, a partition of the integer  $p$  into  $q$  parts. Corresponding to the pair  $(\pi, \sigma)$ , there exists a set of permutations of the form

$$\lambda = (\lambda_{11} \dots \lambda_{1l_1} \lambda_{21} \dots \lambda_{2l_2} \dots \lambda_{q1} \dots \lambda_{ql_q})$$

of  $\{1, \dots, p\}$  with

$$k_i = j_{\lambda_{i1}} + \dots + j_{\lambda_{il_i}} \quad (i = 1, \dots, q).$$

Now in order to avoid irrelevant repetitions, we impose the following conditions:

- (a)  $j_{\lambda_{i1}} \geq \dots \geq j_{\lambda_{il_i}}$ ;
- (b) if  $s < t$  and  $j_{\lambda_{is}} = j_{\lambda_{it}}$ , then  $\lambda_{is} < \lambda_{it}$ ;
- (c) if  $i_1 < i_2$  and  $j_{\lambda_{i_1 s}} = j_{\lambda_{i_2 t}}$ , then  $\lambda_{i_1 s} < \lambda_{i_2 t}$ .



We call a permutation  $\lambda = (\lambda_{11} \dots \lambda_{1l_1} \lambda_{21} \dots \lambda_{2l_2} \dots \lambda_{q1} \dots \lambda_{ql_q})$  satisfying (a), (b), and (c) *representative*. Under these conditions,  $j^{(i)}(l_i) := (j_{\lambda_{i1}} \dots j_{\lambda_{il_i}})$  is again a partition of integers  $k_i$  into  $l_i$  parts ( $i = 1, \dots, q$ ). Thus, for each representative permutation, the number of  $\pi$ 's with  $\text{type}(\pi) = e$  and  $\text{type}[\pi, \sigma] = g$  is, from (9),  $\prod_{i=1}^q b(j^{(i)}(l_i); k_i)$ . Hence we have

$$b(j(p); k(q); g) = \sum \prod_{i=1}^q b(j^{(i)}(l_i); k_i), \quad (13)$$

where the summation is for all representative permutations.

**EXAMPLE.** Let  $n = 6$ ,  $p = 3$ , and  $q = 2$ . Furthermore, let  $k(2) = (k_1 k_2) = (42)$  and  $(j_1 j_2 j_3) = (321)$ . Then  $(k_1 k_2) = (42)$  is obtained from  $(j_1 j_2 j_3) = (321)$  as follows:  $(42) = (3 + 12)$ , i.e.,  $k_1 = j_1 + j_3$  and  $k_2 = j_2$ . We have  $(l_1 l_2) = (21)$ , and the corresponding representative permutation of  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  is  $(13:2)$ , where colon is used to emphasize the fact  $l_1 = 2$  and  $l_2 = 1$ . From (13) we obtain

$$b(j(3); k(2), g = 1^1 2^1 3^0) = b((31); 4) \cdot b((2); 2) = 4.$$

For a small value of  $n$ , there exists none or only one representative permutation corresponding to a triplet  $j(p), k(q), g$ . When  $n = 14$ ,  $p = 7$ ,  $j(7) = (3322211)$ ,  $q = 3$ , and  $k(3) = (644)$ , there are two representative permutations. In this case

$$6 = 3 + 2 + 1, \quad 4 = 3 + 1, \quad 4 = 2 + 2 \quad \text{and}$$

$$6 = 2 + 2 + 2, \quad 4 = 3 + 1, \quad 4 = 3 + 1$$

and both are of the type  $g = 1^0 2^2 3^1$ . Hence the two representative permutations are

$$(136:27:45) \quad \text{and} \quad (345:16:27).$$

Furthermore, from (13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b(j(7); k(3), g = 1^0 2^2 3^1) &= b((321); 6) \cdot b((31); 4) \cdot b((22); 4) \\ &\quad + b((222); 6) \cdot b((31); 4)^2 \\ &= 960. \end{aligned}$$

*The author wishes to thank the referees and the Associate Editor for their constructive comments, which helped to improve the presentation of this paper substantially.*

#### REFERENCES

- 1 M. Aigner, *Combinatorial Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- 2 G. E. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Addison-Wesley, London, 1976.
- 3 N. Bebbiano, On the evaluation of permanents, *Pacific J. Math.* 101:1–9 (1982).
- 4 I. J. Good, A new formula for cumulants, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 78:333–337 (1975).
- 5 J. Hammond, Question 6001, *Educ. Times* 32:179 (1879).
- 6 H. Minc, *Permanents*, Addison-Wesley, London, 1978.
- 7 H. Minc, Evaluation of permanents, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 22:27–32 (1979).
- 8 T. Muir, On a class of permanent symmetric functions, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* 11:409–418 (1882).
- 9 J. Riordan, *Combinatorial Identities*, Krieger, New York, 1979.

*Received 1 August 1985; final manuscript accepted 6 April 1988*